



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/643,905	08/20/2003	Kouichi Morita	Q76955	4637	
23373	7590 10/28/2004		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			FOOTLAND, LENARD A		
SUITE 800	SYLVANIA AVENUE, N	l.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC 20037		3682		
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/200-	DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<del></del>					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-6				
	10/643,905	MORITA ET AL.	P				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Lenard A. Footland	3682					
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addres	S				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT!  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON.  FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repon.  , a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABA	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. IS from the mailing date of this commu NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	09 August 2004.						
3) Since this application is in condition for a							
closed in accordance with the practice ur	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applic	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	I19(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority docu		nlication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the			ne				
application from the International B			<b>9</b> 0				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152	<b>)</b> )				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-20-03</u> .	SB/08) 5) 1 Notice of fill (		-1				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,905

Art Unit: 3682

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of Fig('s). 37-40 is/are acknowledged. Claim(s) 5, contrary to applicant's listing is/are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to non-elected species, not all claims depending upon or otherwise including the limitations of an allowed generic claim, because it refers to the Fig. 48 species PTC thermistor. Alternatively it is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112.

Applicant is reminded that if the amendment of any claims results in a change of the species they read upon, that is required to be indicated. In addition, if any new claims are added, it is required that the applicant indicate which of them read on the elected species. Failure to do so will result in a holding of nonresponsiveness.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/643,905

Art Unit: 3682

Claim(s) 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), as being anticipated by Bankart et al. The examiner finds all claimed subject matter to be present.

See Fig. 8, refs. 58, T101, R103, col. 5, lines 14-25, col. 7, lines 14-16, 27-30, col. 13, lines 9-13.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim(s) 10, 15, 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Bankart et al. as set forth in the rejection of claim(s) 1-4, 6-9, 11-14, 16-19 above, and further in view of official notice of common knowledge in the art, or, in the alternative, engineering design choice.

The examiner finds that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the additional vibration detection feature(s) in question since it was known in the art to do so to provide the function(s) disclosed.

Alternatively, the examiner finds that the broad provision of this/these features *vis-à-vis* that/those disclosed by the reference solve(s) no stated problem insofar as the record is concerned and, accordingly, would have been an obvious matter of design choice. See *In re Kuhle*, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lenard A. Footland, whose telephone number is (703) 308-2683.

Fax: 703-872-9326

Lenard A. Footland

Primary Examiner Technology Center 3600 Art Unit 3682

laf October 21, 2004